



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/758,090

01/16/2004

Edward Joseph Gallagher

SVL920030129US1

5262

46159

7590

05/06/2008

SUGHRUE MION PLLC

USPTO CUSTOMER NO WITH IBM/SVL

2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

STACE, BRENT S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2161

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/06/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/758,090	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GALLAGHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRENT STACE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRENT STACE.

(3) Ruthleen E. Uy.

(2) Christopher Bezak.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,032,153 (Sadiq).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the finality of the last office action, and discussed an interpretation of the prior art(s) that can be seen as teaching the claims. Applicant suggested some amendments that may overcome the prior art(s). No agreement was reached with respect to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Apu Mofiz/  
SPE, Art Unit 2161

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required